Evaluation of a laboratory rotation

To:
Graduate Training Centre of Neuroscience
Österbergstr. 3
72074 Tübingen

Evaluation of a Laboratory Rotation

Dear colleague, please take a few minutes to fill in this form. Further, we ask you to submit this form shortly after completion of all requirements of the lab rotation. This will help us being informed about the progress of our students.

♦ Evaluator (name, institution): ____________________________________________________

♦ Name of student to be evaluated: ________________________________________________

♦ Period of lab rotation: _________________________________________________________

♦ Field of research: _____________________________________________________________

♦ Methods applied / learned (please provide a few key words): ___________________________

♦ She/he has submitted her/his lab report (tick): □ YES

Please note that the first version of the lab report submitted by the student is to be evaluated. Please return the lab report to the student with suggestion for improvement and indicate mandatory corrections that ought to be made (one cycle of corrections is sufficient!). In the end, the student is required to submit a hardcopy of the revised version of the lab report to you and to the Graduate School.
Assessment of the student's performance during the lab rotation:

Dear colleague, we urge you to perform the assessment of the lab rotation thoughtful and responsible since these marks have a fairly high impact on the final grade of the master degree. In cases where the performances are mostly rated with "very good" (>50%), the Graduate School may request a second opinion from a colleague in the field (based on the oral presentation in the Graduate School seminar and on the written lab report). Thank you for your cooperation.

Rating of the performance (1 = very good, 2 = good, 3 = satisfactory, 4 = adequate):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>1.3</th>
<th>1.7</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>2.3</th>
<th>2.7</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>3.3</th>
<th>3.7</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>unsatisfactory</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Understanding of theoretical</td>
<td>(15%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>framework - literature overview</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Practical work in the lab</td>
<td>(30%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Generation of own ideas</td>
<td>(10%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oral presentation - discussion (grading based on the talk in the Graduate School seminar)</td>
<td>(15%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Written lab report (first version) (weight for final grading)</td>
<td>(30%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Additional comments (required if “very good” is awarded in all or most categories, otherwise optional):

Date  ___________________________  Signature Advisor  ___________________________